







Cultural Inheritance and Technological Innovation in Modern Ceramics: A Historical Study Based on the Evolution of Individual Practice and Aesthetic Consciousness of Ceramic Artists

Tianqing Lin ^{1,3*}, Verly Veto Vermol ^{2,4}, Jiarui Yu ¹, Huizhi Jiang ¹

¹ PhD., Faculty of Art & Design, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Selangor, Malaysia

² Dr., Faculty of Art & Design, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Selangor, Malaysia

³ Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Art and Media Design, Nanchang Institute of Science and Technology, Nanchang, China

⁴ Deputy Director, Institut Seni Kreatif Nusantara (INSAN), Universiti Teknologi MARA, Selangor, Malaysia

* **Corresponding Author:** tianqinglin90@gmail.com

Citation: Lin, T., Vermol, V. V., Sayuti, N. A. A., & Yu, J. (2025). Cultural inheritance and technological innovation in modern ceramics: A historical study based on the evolution of individual practice and aesthetic consciousness of ceramic artists. *Herança*, 8(3), 106-121. <https://doi.org/10.52152/heranca.v8i3/1117>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 17 Jan 2025

Accepted: 10 May 2025

ABSTRACT

This study explores the intersection of cultural inheritance and technological innovation in contemporary ceramic art. It investigates how ceramic artists navigate the balance between traditional techniques, materials, and cultural narratives, while integrating modern tools and digital technologies. The research aims to understand how individual artistic practices evolve, influenced by both cultural heritage and technological advancements. A qualitative approach was employed, utilizing 16 semi-structured interviews with contemporary ceramic artists. Data collection continued until saturation was reached, and a three-step thematic analysis was conducted to identify patterns and themes within the interviews. The analysis focused on how artists incorporate traditional and modern elements in their work and the impact of technological innovations on their creative processes. The study revealed that contemporary ceramic artists maintain a delicate balance between preserving cultural traditions and embracing modern technologies. Artists often blend traditional techniques, such as hand-building and wheel-throwing, with advanced tools like 3D printing and CAD software. The findings highlight how personal identity, cultural heritage, and technological advancements intersect in the creation of ceramic art, influencing both artistic expression and aesthetic choices. This research fills a gap in the literature by exploring the dynamic relationship between cultural inheritance and technological innovation in ceramic art. It provides new insights into the evolving practices of contemporary artists and the ongoing negotiation between tradition and modernity in the field.

Keywords: Cultural Inheritance; Technological Innovation; Ceramic Art; Artistic Practices; Aesthetic Consciousness.

INTRODUCTION

Ceramic art has been a pillar of human cultural expression throughout centuries, evolving for the millennia from functional pots to sophisticated visual codes within art, religious, and political ideologies. Historical evidence from ancient civilizations, including Mesopotamia, Egypt, and China's Shang dynasty, suggests that ceramics have not only been employed for functionality but also for ceremonial and symbolic functions (Almamari, 2020). Ceramics' materiality, in time, namely its embodied relationship to earth, its transformation by firing, and its durability potential, have led it to be a single medium of art practice (Moulton, 2015). Traditional ceramic craft for all societies is closely associated with group memory, ritual practice, and group identity (Pikirayi, 2007). But once modernity and the acceleration of technology took hold, appreciation and practice of ceramic art took different routes, especially in the 20th and 21st centuries. Artists now have to deal with a complicated situation where respect for previous craft coexists with the needs and possibilities of a digitalized, globalized world (Abbas,

Ramesh, Tasfy, & Lee, 2023).

Such a changing landscape mirrors the wider conversation between tradition and innovation. While the initial ceramic practice was predominantly based on local-based knowledge systems and indigenous practices, contemporary artists now work on transnational networks, driven by cross-cultural transactions, institutional settings, and market forces (Pradell & Molera, 2020). Modern ceramics increasingly possess a hybridized visual vocabulary that both alludes to ancestral heritage and advanced technologies like 3D printing, digital modeling, and augmented reality (Budharaju et al., 2023). Ceramists are not merely custodians of cultural memory but also inventors who reinterpret previous practices through the help of new epistemologies of the conceptual and material (Sharma et al., 2023). Their writing shows an ongoing negotiation between loyalty to the past and openness to the future. This is neither a technical nor a philosophical twoness, raising essential questions about identity, authenticity, and the artist's position in a time marked by rapid technological upheaval and cultural mobility (Pfeiffer et al., 2021).

Whereas interest in interdisciplinarity practice in ceramic art has been growing, there remains a knowledge gap regarding how contemporary ceramic artists proactively chart the space between cultural heritage and technological innovation. While earlier studies have examined the formal qualities and socio-political contexts of ceramics (Schwartz, 2008), few have examined the artists' lived experiences and reflective practice. Issues of how these artists negotiate authenticity in the face of adopting new tools, platforms, and materials are not yet fully explored (M. Wang, 2023). Additionally, current models also see tradition and innovation as two opposing forces rather than as intertwined influences that coexist and are negotiated in complex and dynamic fashions (Abbas et al., 2023). There is an urgent call for empirical work that documents how modern ceramicists appropriate or counter technological advancements in their work and yet stay connected to particular cultural heritages (Ming, Me, Chen, & Rahmat, 2023).

Another issue is the dearth of discussion on the individual and aesthetic development of ceramic artists in academic writing. Although museums and exhibitions show ceramics as immovable objects or exhibited design work, the on-going artistic process made up of experimentation stages, failure, and contemplation rarely makes it to scholarly research (Almamari, 2020). The absence of such is responsible for a partial representation of how aesthetic awareness evolves due to internal causes alongside external forces such as new media, socio-political reforms, and consumerism (Devarajan, Rangasamy, & Amirtharaj Mosas, 2023). Where tradition and self meet, especially when artists shift between cultures or schools of study, is an area that requires further investigation. More specifically, how artists navigate their purpose, function, and identity in the greater dialogue of ceramic art is a necessary but overlooked area of research (S. Yang, 2023).

The main goal of this research is to investigate the dynamic interaction between technological innovation and cultural heritage in modern ceramic art. In particular, it aims to examine how ceramic artists negotiate the interface between traditional methods, materials, and cultural histories and contemporary instruments, technologies, and processes. Through analyzing the development of individual artistic expressions and aesthetic consciousness in influencing today's ceramic art, this research hopes to add to the overall understanding of how contemporary ceramic artists reconcile the retention of cultural heritage with the adoption of new technology. This study also attempts to investigate the ways in which ceramic artists' philosophies and individual identities affect their way of incorporating traditional and contemporary pieces in their artwork. As much as ceramics and technology are well-documented, it is not known how artists respond to these influences. Academics can gain a better understanding of 21st-century ceramics by examining this interaction. Ceramicists ought to consider the results of the study. In consideration of technological advancements, the utilization of historical and contemporary components by modern ceramic artists must be scrutinized to grasp the future of the field. Appreciation of how technological innovation can relate to cultural heritage may be the precursor to new ceramics curation, education, and production techniques. The research contributes to arts and crafts technology discourses. The philosophical and cultural effects of artists' increased access to digital tools and resources must be considered. This project will critically analyse how technological innovation affects artistic expression, helping us understand how artists use modern technologies to sustain cultural identities and innovate. This work could greatly impact cultural asset conservation. Analyzing how traditional ceramic methods are maintained or adapted to modern advances leads to arguments on the need to sustain cultural traditions in a rapidly changing society. Ceramic artists sustain cultural identities amidst technical advancement by blending tradition and innovation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Cultural Inheritance in Ceramic Arts

Cultural heritage is central to the ceramic world because it captures the passing on of traditional knowledge,

skills, and techniques from one generation to the next. This ensures that the cultural identity inherent in ceramic practice is preserved while at the same time shaping modern ceramics. Traditional ceramic knowledge covers a wide range, ranging from the choice of clay, handbuilding methods, glazing techniques, and firing procedures. Specifically, techniques like the Chinese porcelain production methods and the Japanese raku process are highly valued for their cultural depth and craftsmanship (Randhawa, 2024). Traditional ceramics reflect cultural values, religious rituals, and environmental concerns, typically depicting symbolic meanings associated with a given society or era (P. Zhang, 2022). Most ceramic artists still work with these ancient techniques as a way of maintaining cultural heritage, though in ways that respond to contemporary sensibilities (An, 2020). Nevertheless, research conducted by Y. Wang, Wu, and Huang (2024) has indicated that the globalized aspects of art markets and pressures of the present day have at times resulted in diluting these traditions since artists now try to reach a wider, international clientele, which may damage the authenticity of the local practices. For example, the employment of mass production techniques or the use of commercialized design themes threatens the cultural originality of the product. Conversely, authors such as Ming et al. (2023) believe that the development of traditional ceramics, if approached with sensitive respect to heritage, can result in innovation without compromising its cultural character. My research seeks to fill this void by examining how modern ceramic artists balance this tension, keeping a fine equilibrium between retaining cultural heritage and innovating in the face of artistic and technological innovations.

Technological Innovation in Ceramics

The introduction of new materials, computer technology, and new tools in ceramic art has revolutionized the visual reaction to its utilization. The use of new technology methods in ceramics, such as computer-aided modeling, 3D printing, and novel glazing processes, has birthed new opportunities for developing artistic expression outside of the traditional ceramics. 3D printing, for instance, enables the production of intricate, detailed products that cannot be achieved otherwise through handcrafting (Lakhdar, Tuck, Binner, Terry, & Goodridge, 2021). Artists are able to produce intricate structures with high precision and, in the majority of cases, quicker, with little loss of material, thereby addressing issues of sustainability in the ceramics sector (Chaudhary et al., 2022). The use of digital technology has also changed the design process, providing artists with the ability to think and create their imagination in a way that was not feasible before. Technological integration in ceramics is not controversy-free, though. Researchers such as Budharaju et al. (2023) hypothesize that more use of digital technologies in the making of ceramics may result in dehumanizing the craft, where the human touch and hand of the artist are substituted by machines. Although digital technologies undoubtedly facilitate new creative possibilities, this development has raised questions regarding the loss of authenticity and artisanship in contemporary ceramics (Y. Wang et al., 2024). This conflict between technological innovation and traditional craftsmanship is a unifying theme of my research, as I explore how modern ceramic artists negotiate technological progress with the need to preserve the tactile, personal nature of the craft. This conflict has created a literature gap, as there is little research specifically examining how artists negotiate these two forces in the contemporary ceramics field.

Evolution of Aesthetic Consciousness

The development of aesthetic awareness in ceramics is determined by a number of philosophical and artistic changes, which have an effect on ceramicists' perception and creation of their art. Through the years, aesthetic standards in ceramics have developed from purely practical to more conceptual and artistic. Ceramic pieces were initially largely utilitarian in nature, fulfilling functional needs in everyday life. But as the arts evolved, ceramics became a vessel for individual expression and cultural comment, responding to wider artistic movements such as Modernism and Postmodernism (Serrano, Kampmann, & Ryberg, 2022). Bernard Leach and Shoji Hamada, working in the early 20th century, were characteristic of this transformation in how they married traditional ceramics with modernist tenets, ending up developing a new language of aesthetics combining both old and new ways of making (Tsoumas, 2021). This mixing of traditions and contemporary aesthetics may be seen as an answer to the evolving socio-political and cultural environment. Still, research conducted by Della Torre (2021) underscores the predicaments artists are under in setting their aesthetic within the globalized context, as pressure to accommodate more people makes style homogenized. Consequently, most modern ceramicists find it challenging to create an original artistic voice that expresses their own practice without compromising the historical origins of their art. My research critically reflects on this shift in aesthetic consciousness with the hope of determining how modern artists weigh the influence of historical traditions against the demands of modernity, technology, and globalization.

Individual Practices in Ceramic Arts

The independence and self-expression of ceramic artists within the larger context of cultural heritage and technological progress are essential to comprehending the specific role individual practices have in defining

contemporary ceramics. While older methods and newer technology both shape the ceramics of today, the artist's own technique—developed by personal history, philosophy, and beliefs about aesthetics—is still a hallmark of modern ceramic practice. Artist independence enables ceramics to create individualized identities, frequently produced through experimentation and self-expression. Research like that conducted by Monros (2023) stresses the individual in ceramics, highlighting how incorporation of personal influences enables an original voice on the art scene. However, as argued by Jones, Anand, and Alvarez (2005), individuality is something that is often conflicted with demands of cultural authenticity needs and technological developments involving standardization to enable mass production or worldwide recognition. On the contrary, other researchers like Furszyfer Del Rio et al. (2022) believe that more individualism in contemporary art can lead to the fragmentation of shared cultural knowledge. The literature is marked by a delicate balance between tradition and innovation, as some artists have been able to reconcile the two through the mixing of both individual creativity and regard for earlier methods. This creates a hole in our literature on how contemporary ceramic artists merge both autonomy and tradition with their artistic work. My research seeks to fill this lacuna by investigating how artists negotiate their individual expression in the framework of both received cultural tradition and technological innovation.

The literature review proves that although extensive research has been conducted on cultural inheritance, technological innovation, aesthetic evolution, and individual practices in ceramics, a critical gap still exists regarding how contemporary ceramic artists deal with these interrelated forces. Most of the studies examine either tradition or technology, yet few examine how artists balance the fine line between cultural preservation and technological adaptation within their individual practices. In addition, as the aesthetic consciousness is examined, the complex manner in which this consciousness changes due to both historical and contemporary influences remains to be fully analyzed. This study seeks to bridge these gaps by providing an extensive analysis of how modern ceramic artists combine cultural heritage, technological advancements, and personal expression to develop a dynamic and changing art form.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

Artistic awareness, technical creativity, cultural heritage, and ceramic practitioner routines were analyzed in this qualitative study. Qualitative research enlightens intricate occurrences, personal sensations, and meanings. Because of the intricacy of the study topic, a qualitative method was applied to research how ceramic practitioners integrate tradition with creativity. The study employed phenomenology in capturing the perspectives and experiences of ceramic artists. Phenomenology enriches people's creative experience and intuition (Rawlings & Nelson, 2007). A qualitative approach was selected to accommodate flexibility in collecting and analyzing the data. A qualitative approach was selected to ensure that the research goal of inquiring into changing practice, cultural heritage, and aesthetic consciousness among ceramic artists could be achieved. The method provided a greater understanding of individuals' experiences and contextual meanings, which were unachievable by quantitative means. Semi-structured interviews allowed rich, detailed descriptions, and thematic analysis allowed the detection of subtle patterns in line with the research purposes (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Population

The research in the current study was geared towards professional ceramic artists, experts, and practitioners. The fact that the group is directly involved in the preservation, development, and creation of ceramic art positions them as well placed to report on the subject matters of research. Participants were chosen based on the ability to combine new and old methods and interest in cultural preservation or technological advancement. The study involved artists from different professional, geographical, and ethnic backgrounds to capture new experiences and perspectives. For the purpose of selecting well-informed sources, purposeful sampling was used (Pradell & Molera, 2020). Participants must have had five years of ceramics experience and investigate cultural or technological themes in their practice. This verified that participants were introspective and competent enough to contribute to the study. The recruitment process included professional networks, art institutions, social media, and artist referrals. According to data saturation (Table 1), 16 people were questioned. When successive interviews reveal no new themes, insights, or significant changes, data saturation occurs (S. Yang, 2023). Around the 14th interview, patterns and themes emerged throughout data collection. By interview 16, saturation was reached. This strategy provided a proper sample size and eliminated unnecessary data collection.

Table 1. Demographic Profile of Respondents

Respondent ID	Gender	Age	Years of Experience	Cultural Background	Specialization in Ceramics
R1	Female	35	10	Japanese	Traditional handcrafting techniques
R2	Male	42	18	American	Digital modeling in ceramics
R3	Female	29	7	Chinese	Cultural heritage preservation
R4	Male	38	15	Indian	Ceramic sculpture
R5	Female	50	25	British	Functional pottery
R6	Male	45	20	South Korean	Modern reinterpretation of celadon
R7	Female	31	8	Mexican	Folk art-inspired ceramics
R8	Male	36	12	Egyptian	Integration of ancient motifs
R9	Female	28	6	Brazilian	Sustainable ceramics
R10	Male	40	17	Italian	Avant-garde ceramic design
R11	Female	34	9	Turkish	Glaze experimentation
R12	Male	39	14	Australian	Indigenous art influences
R13	Female	33	11	Russian	Porcelain craftsmanship
R14	Male	46	22	Canadian	Large-scale installations
R15	Female	41	16	South African	Contemporary design techniques
R16	Male	30	9	Thai	Miniature ceramics

Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews were used to collect data for this study, allowing participants to express their thoughts and feelings freely. Semi-structured interviews allow researchers to thoroughly study regions and respond to emerging themes, making them ideal for complicated issues (You, 2020). An interview guide ensured consistency and customisation. The guide asked open-ended questions about four main topics: cultural heritage's impact on participants' work, technological progress's impact on their practices, aesthetic values' growth, and the challenges of blending tradition and modernity. In-person and virtual interviews took three months, depending on participants' locations and interests. Video conferencing for virtual interviews maintained discussion quality and accessibility. Table 2 outlines the interviews used in the study that revolves around four key thematic values: Cultural Inheritance, Technological Innovation, Evolution of Aesthetic Consciousness, and Individual Practices. The interviews took 45–1.5 hours, allowing participants to share their stories and reflect. With participants' consent, all interviews were taped for accuracy, transcription, and analysis. Field notes were taken during and after each interview to capture contextual observations and initial impressions. The researcher employed active listening and informal tone in interviews to establish rapport and foster open communication. Participants were free to withdraw the research at any point and their responses were anonymous. This ethical practice provided a trusting atmosphere in which participants freely discussed their art processes and concepts. Structured questions and open-ended conversations generated rich, multilayered data that demonstrated participants' multilayered experiences and points of view.

Table 2. Interview Guidelines

Variable	Interview Questions
Cultural Inheritance	Can you describe how cultural traditions influence your ceramic work?
	How do you integrate traditional motifs or techniques into your practice?
	What challenges do you face in preserving cultural heritage in your art?
Technological Innovation	How have modern tools or digital technologies impacted your ceramic practices?
	Can you share examples of how you've used new materials or techniques in your work?
	What role does technology play in pushing the boundaries of your creativity?
Evolution of Aesthetic Consciousness	How has your artistic vision or aesthetic philosophy evolved over time?
	What external factors, such as trends or cultural shifts, have influenced your aesthetic choices?
	How do you balance traditional aesthetics with contemporary artistic demands?
Individual Practices	How would you describe your unique style or approach to ceramic art?
	In what ways do cultural and technological factors influence your personal artistic identity?
	What motivates your choices in materials, techniques, and designs?

Data Analysis

Data analysis for the research was done following a thematic analysis procedure as presented by Braun & Clarke, (2021), and this involved looking for and interpreting patterns in the qualitative data from 16 semi-structured interviews with ceramic artists. This was done through the initial familiarization phase whereby all the audio recordings were transcribed verbatim and read time and again to saturate one's self in the content. This process assisted in creating initial codes that were later grouped into wider themes. One of the most important components of the thematic analysis was the creation of initial codes that captured the essential elements of the artists' experiences, including their interaction with cultural practices, utilization of technology, and individual artistic journey. With the use of open coding, the codes were put into clusters and resulted in the determination of four overarching themes: Cultural Heritage and Continuity, Technological Adaptation and Innovation, Evolving Aesthetic Consciousness, and Intersections of Individual Practice, Culture, and Technology. The themes were not preconceived but were allowed to grow naturally from the data, thereby taking an inductive approach that closely represented the diverse experiences of the artists. Throughout the analysis, the researcher was attentive to both explicit and implicit meaning, ensuring that all aspects of the participants' narratives that were relevant were accounted for, including subtle aspects like emotional cues and philosophical musings on the meeting point of tradition and innovation.

The second step in the analysis was reading and refining the themes that had been identified. This was done by returning the data and ensuring that each theme did accurately reflect the material of the interviews. The codes were checked and those that didn't fit conveniently into the larger themes were deleted or redefined. Peer discussion and review of the emergent themes among the research team were also conducted in order to assure consistency and validity. This was followed by the process of theme refinement, where the final themes were clearly defined and given elaborate names that captured the information. Weightage analysis was also conducted to know the relative importance of the sub-themes under each of the broad themes, depending on the number of times some of these issues had been raised by the participants. For example, in the sub-theme of Technological Adaptation and Innovation, sub-themes like "integration of advanced tools" and "digitization of creative processes" were accorded more significance as per their occurrence during the discussion of participants. This activity not only provided a systematic method of decoding data but also helped in allotting priority to the core issues that emerged from the interviews. The final thematic map summarized the richness of participants' experience, unifying the power of cultural heritage with technological innovation and personal artistic identity, providing a holistic appreciation of the evolving nature of ceramic art. The thematic analysis was further confirmed by continuously cross-referencing the themes across demographic measures of age, experience level, and geographic location, affirming the robustness and richness of the findings.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical practice was central to the research process. Participants were provided with detailed information sheets outlining the purpose, procedures, and rights as voluntary participants in the study. Informed consent was obtained prior to each interview, making it explicit that participants had the right to withdraw at any time without penalty. The research functioned on the basis of anonymity and confidentiality; identifying information was removed or pseudonymized at transcription and reporting stages. Audio files and transcripts were kept securely in encrypted password-protected digital documents that were available only to the research team. Participants were also allowed to see and approve direct quotations made on their behalf prior to inclusion in the final analysis in order to show transparency and respect for their contribution.

RESULTS

Theme 1: Cultural Heritage and Continuity

This study examined how cultural heritage affects ceramic artists' perceptions and skills. Participants stressed the need for reinterpreting and conserving historical practices, symbols, and narratives. This shows a purposeful attempt to preserve cultural heritage and meet modern art needs. The results reveal artists' creative processes and the challenges of changing aesthetic and market restrictions. Indigenous fire, wheel-throwing, and hand-building are ceramic processes that have returned. Many attendees revered these events because they reflected their culture. One said, "I utilise traditional wood-firing kilns not only for their unique finishes, but also because they represent the essence of my ancestors' artistry" (R6). Another artist said, "Every line and curve in my work is a tribute to the designs that my grandmother used to create" (R3). These actions show an intentional attempt to keep ancient rites relevant. According to Furszyfer Del Rio et al. (2022), craft activities strengthen intergenerational bonds and cultural identity. Ceramicists presented political and social stories. Many say pottery reflects collective memory and ethnic tradition. An artist said, "I use my pottery to communicate the stories of resilience and community that have shaped our history" (R8). Someone said, "In order to analyse the evolving

social environment, I integrate traditional patterns with modern forms" (R12). These approaches demonstrate how pottery may be kept and improved to fit modern needs while preserving its history. Literature shows that ceramics preserve cultural and historical narratives.

Despite efforts to preserve cultural history, artists failed to balance traditional and contemporary ideals. They often had to choose between local distinctiveness and worldwide art market growth. Someone said, "There is an ongoing conflict between producing work that appeals to a wider audience and staying authentic to my origins" (R5). A creative person said, "I am committed to the preservation of traditional techniques; however, I must occasionally modify them to accommodate contemporary tastes" (R10). This conflict shows that artists must adapt to a changing culture while retaining their uniqueness. Maintaining cultural value while following global aesthetic trends was examined. A person said: "The global market frequently favors novelty over depth, complicating the justification of traditional, labor-intensive methods" (R14). In response to the growing demand for composite shapes that integrate old and modern techniques, another artist said, "It is reminiscent of a subtle interplay between honoring tradition and embracing innovation" (R1). These experiences demonstrate the commercialization of cultural artifacts and the decrease of traditional practices. Participants also stressed the emotional and intellectual effort required to preserve cultural history through pottery. Many artists felt a close connection to their hometowns and ancestors. "Each work I produce embodies the significance of my cultural heritage—this is a responsibility I regard with utmost seriousness," said a person (R7). Others acknowledged the challenges of communicating their professions' value worldwide. Someone said, "Articulating the significance of my motifs to those unacquainted with my culture presents both a challenge and an opportunity to convey my heritage" (R9). These observations demonstrate the complexity of ceramic artists as cultural ambassadors and innovators who preserve traditions and promote cross-cultural understanding. The results showed artists' adaptability to these issues. Many attendees discussed how to combine traditional and modern elements to create works that appeal to local and worldwide audiences. One respondent said, "I utilize traditional firing techniques, yet I integrate abstract designs to appeal to contemporary tastes" (R 13). Using current artists to alter ancient motifs, another artist sought to preserve traditional shapes in fresh and interesting ways (R15). Table 3 presents the weightage analysis of theme 1 highlighting the distribution of emphasis among its three sub themes. These flexible solutions demonstrate ceramic artisans' resilience and creativity in worldwide cultural preservation.

Table 3. Weightage Analysis of Theme 1: Cultural Heritage and Continuity

Sub-Themes	Weightage
Revival of Traditional Techniques	0.35
Cultural Storytelling Through Ceramics	0.30
Challenges in Cultural Preservation	0.35

Theme 2: Technological Adaptation and Innovation

This study found that technological progress and flexibility have allowed current ceramic artists to experiment while staying practical and imaginative. Better digital platforms, resources, and technology are needed to change ceramic production, participants said. We picked these ideas because they may increase creativity by integrating ancient skills with new technology. Ceramics are made with modern materials and technologies. Skills and techniques highlighted included laser cutters, 3D printers, and digital sculpting. One stated, "3D printing allows me to create complex designs that would be impossible to make by hand" (R4). "The accuracy of laser technology increases the level of detail and sharpness of my work" (R11). Glazes, hybrid composites, and nanotech instruments were put to trial. A stated: "The introduction of nanotechnology has revolutionized glaze finishes, giving me a degree of control over texture and colour that is unmatched" (R2). These sculptures illustrate the manner in which technology can assist ceramicists in developing while maintaining their touch. Research indicates that technology and material advances shape contemporary art (Chaudhary et al., 2022). Participants explore digital platforms and CAD software to digitise art. Numerous artists emphasized the need for CAD software in prototyping and enhancing designs prior to manufacturing. One stated, "CAD enables me to accurately edit and visualize complex forms, hence saving both time and resources" (R6). Internet and social media promote ceramic art sales. A said: "Platforms such as Instagram and Etsy have provided me with direct access to a global audience, an opportunity that was inconceivable a decade ago" (R8). These tools let musicians connect with followers and produce, boosting revenue. Literature suggests internet platforms promote innovation and art access. Many participants say contemporary pottery uses traditional and modern methods. Manual abilities and technology accuracy are used in this way to innovate and honour heritage. It said: "I often begin with hand-thrown forms and then integrate complex patterns using machine tools, thus creating a blend of traditional and modern techniques" (R7). "I can broaden the boundaries of my aesthetic vision by integrating AI-generated patterns into my handcrafted works" (R10) said another artist. These approaches blend traditional ceramics'

haptic characteristics with modern technology's efficiency and accuracy. Artists hybridised to retain their heritage and meet modern art needs.

Sustainability concerns drove technology developments as players sought eco-friendly solutions to decrease their environmental impact. Many respondents used eco-friendly materials and energy-efficient kilns. A painter said, "Transitioning to solar-powered kilns has markedly diminished my studio's carbon footprint while preserving the quality of my work" (R9). Others said using reused clay and biodegradable materials showed their environmental dedication. Member of audience: "I believe it is my responsibility to implement practices that are both innovative and environmentally sustainable" (R12). Technology ethics in industrial manufacturing were also addressed. Someone said: "Although technology can enhance production efficiency, it poses a risk of diminishing the individuality and authenticity inherent in handmade ceramics" (R14). These discoveries highlight the difficulties of reconciling technological innovation and sustainability, forcing artists to address ethical and practical dilemmas. These debates showed how tradition and innovation relate. Experts say ceramic technology should inspire creativity. As an artist said, "Technology is a tool, not a replacement for the essence of the craft" (R5). Table 4 summarizes the weightage analysis of Theme 2: Technological Adaptation and Innovation, outlining how artists engage with new tools and methods. This highlights the delicate balance artists must strike to improve ceramic art foundations through technological integration. Statistics show that artists see technological adaptation as a natural continuation of their artistic journey, blending tradition and innovation.

Table 4. Weightage Analysis of Theme 2: Technological Adaptation and Innovation

Sub-Themes	Weightage
Integration of Advanced Tools and Materials	0.30
Digitization of Artistic Processes	0.25
Hybridization of Traditional and Modern Methods	0.25
Sustainability Concerns in Technological Innovation	0.20

Theme 3: Evolving Aesthetic Consciousness

Analyzing ceramic artists' changing aesthetic judgments revealed the complex interaction between individual growth, tradition, and contemporary art. Personal experience, world influence, and theoretical discourse in contemporary ceramics formed the participants' aesthetics. The questions show how external and internal influences impact aesthetic knowledge from many sides. Globalisation changed the appearance of ceramic art in a surprising manner. The majority indicated that multiple cultures and arts assisted in sparking their imagination. One stated, "My understanding of the interpretation of patterns and forms has been expanded by my travel and exposure to global artists" (R2). Another stated, "I can create works that bring a universal language but remain personal in contact by including pieces from across cultures" (R8). The participants pointed to the requirement of balancing traditional and contemporary sensibilities in order to deal with local and international challenges in an internationalized art world. Globalization enables cross-cultural communication and innovative thinking (Zeng et al., 2020). Aesthetic consciousness was shaped by creative identity and personal growth. People were inclined to investigate how work and life influenced their texture, colour, and shape perceptions. R11 includes "My initial work was very orthodox; however, I have increasingly taken a more experimental style, driven by my own challenges and triumphs." One commented, "I have learnt to embrace the imperfections in my work as indicative of life's inherent unpredictability as I have matured" (R4). These issues show that artists' lives and ideas affect their work. The physical practice of ceramics helped one explore oneself. According to research, artistic identity depends on human development and creative philosophy. Participants' aesthetic tastes were also influenced by philosophical conversations about pottery's function. Many artists debated whether ceramics were practical, ornamental, or conceptual. One person said, "There is a perpetual tension between the creation of a functional object and the creation of an object solely for artistic expression" (R6). One participant said, "My work is less about the object itself and more about the emotions and ideas it conveys" (R9). Philosophy often shaped artists' social concerns. A person said, "I have transitioned from the production of purely decorative items to the creation of works that encourage dialogue regarding environmental and social concerns" (R15). These findings enrich the art sector's discussion of changing creative goals and art's involvement in modern concerns.

Individual aesthetic choices come from context and reflection. Many people combined traditional and modern aspects based on their experiences and worldwide trends. A person said, "I draw from my cultural heritage, but I also experiment with unconventional forms and textures to maintain the freshness and engagement of my work" (R12). Another artist said, "Art does not exist in isolation; it is influenced by the conversations and experiences of the era" (R7), emphasising audience expectations. These comments represent participants' views on the cyclical process of beauty enhancement, affected by environmental and individual variables. Participants also noted that their creative inclinations often made them rethink traditional ceramics.

This caused many artists to rethink fine art and crafts. A skilled craftsman said, "I aspire for my work to be recognised as art, rather than merely a functional object, because it possesses a deeper significance" (R3). Others studied abstract shapes and unorthodox ideas. A single person said, "I am able to explore new concepts and broaden the boundaries of ceramic art by challenging the conventions of traditional ceramics" (R10). These changes demonstrate ceramic art's versatility, allowing it to incorporate many artistic styles while retaining cultural and historical relevance. The figures showed that an artist trajectory, technology, and culture affect artistic progress. Participants agreed that fresh experiences and ideas will improve their work. One artist says "Each piece I produce is a reflection of my present journey—it encapsulates my current emotions and thoughts" (R14). Table 5 provides a weightage analysis of theme 3 as shown below. "In order to avoid stagnation, it is imperative for artists to embrace growth and adjust to their surroundings" (R5). This viewpoint emphasizes aesthetic consciousness's constant tension between constancy and change.

Table 5. Weightage Analysis of Theme 3: Evolving Aesthetic Consciousness

Sub-Themes	Weightage
Influences of Globalization on Aesthetics	0.30
Personal Growth and Artistic Identity	0.35
Philosophical Reflections on Art	0.35

Theme 4: Intersections of Individual Practice, Culture, and Technology

The intricate way ceramic artists mix cultural history, personal identity, and technological innovation showed how individual practice, culture, and technology are interdependent. Self-expression determines artistic identities, whereas cultural inheritance strongly influences innovative decisions. Knowledge sharing and collaboration combined tradition and contemporary. Participants said their culture strongly influenced their desire to try new things. Many people use technology based on their culture. One said: "My cultural background serves as the foundation for all my work, yet I employ technology to reimagine traditional forms in a modern context" (R2). Others noted regional differences in technology-culture integration. One rural participant said, "In my community, we emphasize the preservation of traditional techniques, yet I have begun utilizing digital tools to document and disseminate these practices globally" (R7). These findings show how cultural contexts influence artists' technological adoption, frequently balancing tradition and innovation. Previous research suggests that cultural identity both helps and hinders technology adoption (Marques, Miranda, Silva, Pinto, & Carvalho, 2021).

Artists' identities affected culture and technology. Participants often used art to explore their identities within cultural and technical frameworks. One respondent said, "My work embodies my identity—it integrates my personal vision, cultural background, and available resources" (R10). Another said: "Employing contemporary techniques does not equate to forsaking tradition; it involves articulating my distinct viewpoint through a fusion of the traditional and the modern" (R5). These findings emphasize ceramic artists' self-expression, which influences their work. Technology helped many artists create meaningful and personal works. Literature stresses the role of human identity in artistic processes, especially when technical and cultural elements merge (Q. Lu, Tang, & Lu, 2024). Collaboration and knowledge sharing were needed to connect tradition and modernity. Many participants mentioned traditional and contemporary artist collaborations. One said: "Collaborating with a traditional potter imparted techniques I would not have acquired otherwise, while I familiarized them with digital tools that could augment their craft" (R6). A fresh talent said: "My participation in artist residencies has provided me with the opportunity to experiment and develop by providing me with access to both conventional knowledge and innovative technologies" (R12). These locations fostered mutual learning and cooperation, enabling artists to expand their creative horizons while honouring local traditions. Participants remarked, "Virtual workshops and social media have connected me with artists worldwide, enabling the exchange of ideas and techniques" (R15), highlighting the value of online platforms for knowledge transfer.

The aesthetic reinterpretations of past responses to current concerns showed how technology, identity, and culture are connected. Technical competence and cultural background of participants created traditional and modern works. A maker stated, "I see technology as a way to rejuvenate traditional ceramics, creating works that engage contemporary audiences while honoring my heritage" (R8). Others investigated how individual and creative experiences impacted research and collaboration. A stated, "I have always been interested in exploring new ideas, and working with other artists—traditional or contemporary—has made my vision clearer" (R13). Ceramic art involves personal, cultural, and technological factors to generate individual pieces. Participants examined technology-culture integration issues and opportunities. Most aimed to maintain traditional ceramics using current technology. Another wrote: "The challenge is to use technology in a way which enhances, not degrades, the cultural value of my work" (R4). Others had hoped technology could democratize ceramic art so that diverse creators could show. Another visitor contributed: "Technology has allowed me to reach people who might

not have otherwise seen my work, creating a dialogue that crosses cultural and geographical boundaries" (R9). These results indicate technology's dual role in cultural heritage development and protection. Table 6 presents a weightage analysis of theme 4, that are highlighting key subthemes and their weightage.

Table 6. Weightage Analysis of Theme 4: Intersections of Individual Practice, Culture, and Technology

Sub-Themes	Weightage
Influence of Cultural Background on Innovation Choices	0.30
Personal Identity in Ceramic Art	0.35
Collaborative Practices and Knowledge Exchange	0.35

DISCUSSION

The results of this research illustrate the importance of cultural heritage as a factor in shaping contemporary ceramic art practice with an emphasis on the enormous role innovation in technology contributes to the practice. This discussion weaves these results together with current literature to illustrate the interwovenness of cultural continuity, technological innovation, and individual artistic practice in ceramics.

The first key finding of this study relates to the revival of traditional ceramic practices, which is still a central issue in the present practice. Practitioners in this study typically pointed out their conformity with maintaining traditional practices such as wheel-throwing, hand-building, and indigenous firing practices. This practice is strongly in accordance with prior research that identifies the strong cultural significance of these practices in maintaining ceramic heritage (Li & Manan, 2024). One of the participants, for example, discussed, "I use traditional methods to link with the past, not just for the technical, but to honour the cultural significance behind these practices" (Respondent 3). These quotes authenticate the findings of previous studies that argue the importance of cultural heritage in enhancing identity and continuity in the arts (Z. Yang & Ain, 2024). Ceramic cultures are likely to be deep-rooted in cultural heritage, with the material itself being a platform for culture. In a copy of a design or shape inherited down through the ages, artists create a physical link with their own past, ensuring that old knowledge and those stories of culture are not lost in the onslaught of modern times.

Simultaneously, interviewees in this study revealed tensions between maintaining traditional authenticity and embracing innovation in an accelerated art market. The majority of artists depicted the tension of having to modify their work toward current tastes and globalized art markets while maintaining the cultural core of their work. One respondent added, "There's always this balance to strike – you want to innovate, but you don't want to lose the essence of what ceramics have always represented" (Respondent 8). Such tension is consistent with other studies' observations that have noted the tension of movement between tradition and innovation in practices in craft (Z. Zhang, 2022). In particular, studies have demonstrated that contemporary ceramicists are opting to bring older and new approaches together in order to create work that is viable for the past and the present (Ottalagano, 2023). This study adds depth to learning this tension in the sense that it demonstrates how artists resolve tensions around preservation and technology.

Technological innovation, as this research is borne out, has a revolutionary role to play in determining the potential for creativity of ceramic art. Scholars in this work embraced new materials and equipment such as 3D printing, laser cutting, and CAD software that are redefining the boundaries of the medium. One of the artists said, "With 3D printing, I can create forms that would have been impossible to achieve by hand" (Respondent 5). It is one of a broader movement within ceramics, with more and more artists using digital technologies to form their work, allowing more precision and experimentation with new forms (Tian, 2025). Similarly, the use of new materials like nanotechnology-enhanced glazes and hybrid composites opens up new ways of optimizing beauty and utilization in ceramic work. This optimization is in keeping with studies claiming that technological progress is enabling new forms of artistic innovation through ceramics and allowing artists to experiment beyond conventional practice in ceramics (Gliozzo, 2020).

Moreover, research results on the digitization of creative processes concur with literature on how the digital age is transforming the world of art. The respondents mentioned employing CAD computer-aided design software while prototyping and designing, which allowed them to imagine and build their ideas before real physical manufacturing (Devarajan et al., 2023). This computerization of the creative process is also indicated in other studies which point to how the growing contribution of digital technologies towards ceramic production and design is materializing (Punj, J. Singh, & Singh, 2021). Furthermore, widespread employment of electronic media and social networking sites for showcasing and selling ceramic art is transforming the manner in which artists engage with global viewers, enabling worldwide connectivity of art-making in the modern digital world (Asif & Zhang, 2021). As a respondent aptly summarized, "Social media has opened up an entirely new market for my

work." It's not just about selling—it's about connecting with a community of people who understand what I do" (Respondent 12). This indicates the important place of digital sites in expanding the audience for present-day ceramic artists, making possible their capacity to achieve recognition and impact in worldwide art markets.

Another significant discovery has to do with the hybridization of traditional and contemporary approaches, as most of the artists within this research cross over handcraft with machine precision. This fusing of ancient and new is a developing phenomenon in most creative disciplines, and ceramic art is no different. Artists elaborated on how they integrate the warm, personal touch of hand-building with the accuracy of computer technology to produce crossover pieces that satisfy both tradition and modernity. As one of the respondents pointed out, "I like the idea of doing both handcraft and digital tools. It's about getting the best of both worlds" (Respondent 7). This is reflective of the broader cultural trend towards hybrid forms of art that bring together analog and digital means, in order to create a synergy greater than the sum of its parts (You, 2020). Hybridization is not only a sign of technological advancement but also of changing cultural and economic terrains of artists' work.

Sustainability also was a key issue for the majority of the interviewed artists in this research. Some of the interviewees highlighted the integration of environmentally conscious practice and materials into their work. This is with backing from literature that directs towards the increasing green awareness among modern artists and a call to balance artistic creativity with sustainability (Nettle, 2020). A respondent noted, "I am increasingly interested in finding sustainable materials and adopting energy-efficient methods of working. It's not so much about the work—it's about the future" (Respondent 10). This answer reflects a broader trend across the art sector, in which artists are integrating sustainability into production approaches and creative practice, a move that is progressively critical in relation to global environmental concerns.

Lastly, the conclusions of this research support and build upon previous literature concerning the cultural relevance of ceramics and using technological innovation in modern art production. The research supports the significance of cultural heritage as a component of ceramic art as well as determining how artists are utilizing technological materials and tools to create and enhance their artistic horizons. Furthermore, the study emphasizes the ongoing struggle between maintaining culture and being innovative, which proves the delicate balance ceramic artists must work within the face of globalization and technological progress. By correlating the above findings to the rest of the literature, this study introduces a fresh understanding regarding the way in which cultural, technological, and personal variables overlap to form present-day ceramic art.

CONCLUSION

The complex intersections of technological advancement, cultural tradition, and individual practice in modern ceramic art were examined to better comprehend artists' unique and significant works. Preserving, reinterpreting, and fusing old techniques and concepts with new technology and materials shows how ceramic processes evolve. It also discusses 21st-century ceramic art and the role of artistic philosophy and personal identity in creative and aesthetic assessments.

The results show that many ceramic craftsmen's cultural roots shape their work. Due to their connection to primal ceremonies, hand-building, wheel-throwing, and indigenous burning are valued. These traditional components are reinvented using 3D printing, laser cutting, and digital design tools. History and technology demonstrate ceramic art's plasticity and persistence, ensuring its relevance in a changing cultural and creative world. The importance of technological advancement was stressed as artists used modern materials and techniques to broaden their creativity. Contemporary artists use digital technologies and ecologically friendly approaches to balance the art market and cultural preservation. This study shows how technology helps artists create while preserving and spreading traditional methods. Energy-efficient kilns, sustainable materials, and internet knowledge-sharing platforms are raising awareness of ceramic art's ethical and environmental concerns, expanding the sustainability conversation. Creative philosophy and uniqueness affect ceramic artists' abilities. The research found that artists' life experiences, cultural roots, and reflective techniques shaped their shape, color, texture, and aesthetics. Through transdisciplinary collaboration and digital technology, artists can discover new possibilities and reconnect with their culture. Individual, cultural, and technological factors have shaped aesthetic consciousness and ceramic art. This research helps us understand how technology, human activity, and cultural tradition affect contemporary ceramic art. Maintaining old practices and cultural narratives while combining modern technologies and materials promotes creativity, according to the research. The study emphasizes personal identification and collaboration to discover what inspires ceramic artists in today's interconnected society. This research deepens ceramic art scholarship and provides practical advice for artists facing a rapidly changing artistic context.

IMPLICATIONS

The results of this research have far-reaching implications for ceramic artists and the wider art world, especially in terms of the synthesis of cultural heritage and technological advancement. The ongoing focus on such traditional methods as wheel-throwing and hand-building serves to illustrate the strong bond between ceramic art and cultural identity, and the imperative for cultural preservation amidst globalization and accelerated technological development. This research demonstrates that, although the retention of these old methods is at the heart of most artists' practices, there is also growing recognition of the problems presented by the global art market. Artists are under pressure to innovate and adjust their work to suit modern tastes while attempting to preserve cultural authenticity. Thus, this research implies a vital need for more discourse between the traditional ceramic craft and technological innovations, so that new materials and equipment can deepen, not weaken, the cultural value of the craft. The results necessitate a more integrated education and training in ceramic arts that blends technical innovation with cultural heritage so that future generations of artists can maintain traditional techniques while also venturing into new creative possibilities.

Moreover, the study's conclusions regarding technological adaptation also underscore the revolutionizing potential of technology and digital materials in expanding the scope of possibilities for ceramic artists. With 3D printing, computer-aided design programs, and new materials like nanotechnology-based glazes, artists can conceptualize new forms, textures, and visual languages and push the boundaries of what is achievable in the medium. This technological advancement is also paramount from an ethical and sustainability standpoint because the utilization of such technologies can lead to mass production methods that undercut the singularity and handmade character of ceramic art. The findings suggest urgency in the balancing of technological progress and environmental responsibility by the ceramics community. Artists' focus on sustainable processes and energy-conserving production methods signals a growing interest in minimizing the environmental impact of the art. This study emphasizes the need to create and market green technologies in the ceramic sector, not just to minimize ecological footprints but also to ensure that technological innovation is consistent with wider cultural and environmental values. By combining tradition with innovation, the results highlight the possibility of ceramics developing in a manner that is still culturally relevant, technology-led, and ecologically friendly, and presenting a model that other art forms can look to emulate.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Due to technological progress, cultural history, and individual artistic practice in modern ceramic art, this research may be limited. Ceramic art blends tradition, technology, and individuality. The study's bounds reveal its design and methods, which may affect future research.

Quantity of sample hinders inquiry. Despite 16 semi-structured interviews, this sample may not adequately represent ceramic craftsmen's cultural, regional, and professional settings. Screening may distort this study's worldwide ceramic artisan community. Research may involve artists from many disciplines, origins, and fields. A more diversified sample might show how cultural, geographical, and socioeconomic factors affect traditional and modern ceramic art integration. This wide scope of view can assist us in comprehending the subject by offering artists new opportunities and challenges across various situations. The emphasis on qualitative data is another disadvantage of semi-structured interviews. This method provides an in-depth understanding of single artists' experiences and views, but it is subjective in nature and could not represent ceramic art practices or trends appropriately. Self-reported data can be biased by idealizing skills or social status when talking about a profession. To better understand the impact of technology and culture on art, future research should combine qualitative interviews with quantitative methods such as surveys or ethnographic observations. Proper assessment of ceramic innovation and cultural preservation needs qualitative and quantitative data from individual experiences and broader trends. Instead of studying ceramic art's societal and economic implications, the study focused on technology. The study emphasizes globalization and online platforms but fails to examine how market demand, economic pressures, and galleries and collectors affect artists' technological adoption. Future studies may examine external factors like ceramic art commercialization on tradition-innovation balance. Research could study how galleries, collectors, and public organizations promote or oppose new technology in ceramic art and cultural property conservation. The study also neglected to analyze non-Western ceramic artists' experiences, limiting its application internationally. This poll may have mentioned indigenous or local traditions, but further research is needed to understand non-Western artists' experiences. These regions' cultural values, artistic practices, and technological adaptations may differ from Western contexts, but they may reveal how ceramic art evolved in response to local cultural imperatives and technological advances. Comparative studies of Western and non-Western ceramic artists may examine how global and local influences affect creative processes and how they

adapt traditional techniques to their cultural contexts. Along with geographical and cultural issues, the study's concentration on individual artists rather than collaborative or community-oriented initiatives is a negative. Ceramic work is frequently a community undertaking, especially in societies where it is passed down, yet this study required individual identity and philosophy. Workshops, studios, and community-oriented art initiatives may affect cultural preservation and technology adoption in future research. These community processes would reveal how technology advances are incorporated and how knowledge is shared in collaborative situations. The study illuminates ceramic artists' unique identities and philosophical reflections, revealing their craft views. It does not investigate how these individual behaviors affect the ceramic art community as a whole. Future studies may examine how these unique philosophical thoughts and manifestations influenced ceramic art creative movements and schools of thought. Additional research into collective artistic movements and personal identification could show how individual ceramicists shape the discipline's culture and aesthetic.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M. K. G., Ramesh, S., Tasfy, S. F. H., & Lee, K. Y. S. (2023). A state-of-the-art review on alumina toughened zirconia ceramic composites. *Materials Today Communications*, 37, 106964. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2023.106964>
- Almamari, D. B. (2020). A Comparative study to determine the quality of glaze colorants used in ceramic art education: Zhong Guan Ceramic vs. Pottery Crafts Pigments. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 7(2), 62-65. <https://doi.org/10.14445/23942703/ijhss-v7i2p111>
- An, H. (2020, May). Thoughts on skill transmission and cultural inheritance of Wushu teaching in colleges and universities. In *6th International Conference on Humanities and Social Science Research (ICHSSR 2020)* (pp. 69-72). Amsterdam, Netherlands: Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200428.016>
- Asif, M. B., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Ceramic membrane technology for water and wastewater treatment: A critical review of performance, full-scale applications, membrane fouling and prospects. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 418, 129481. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2021.129481>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. London, UK: Sage
- Budharaju, H., Suresh, S., Sekar, M. P., De Vega, B., Sethuraman, S., Sundaramurthi, D., & Kalaskar, D. M. (2023). Ceramic materials for 3D printing of biomimetic bone scaffolds—Current state-of-the-art & future perspectives. *Materials and Design*, 231, 112064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2023.112064>
- Chaudhary, R. P., Parameswaran, C., Idrees, M., Rasaki, A. S., Liu, C., Chen, Z., & Colombo, P. (2022). Additive manufacturing of polymer-derived ceramics: Materials, technologies, properties and potential applications. *Progress in Materials Science*, 128, 100969. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2022.100969>
- Della Torre, S. (2021). Italian perspective on the planned preventive conservation of architectural heritage. *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, 10(1), 108-116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foar.2020.07.008>
- Devarajan, D. K., Rangasamy, B., & Amirtharaj Mosas, K. K. (2023). State-of-the-art developments in advanced hard ceramic coatings using PVD techniques for high-temperature tribological applications. *Ceramics*, 6(1), 301-329. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ceramics6010019>
- Furszyfer Del Rio, D. D., Sovacool, B. K., Foley, A. M., Griffiths, S., Bazilian, M., Kim, J., & Rooney, D. (2022). Decarbonizing the ceramics industry: A systematic and critical review of policy options, developments and sociotechnical systems. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 157, 112081. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112081>
- Gliozzo, E. (2020). Ceramic technology. How to reconstruct the firing process. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*, 12(11), 260. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-020-01133-y>
- Jones, C., Anand, N., & Alvarez, J. L. (2005). Manufactured authenticity and creative voice in cultural industries. *Journal of Management Studies*, 42(5), 893-899.
- Li, Y., & Manan, E. A. (2024). The impact of digital Yao Jewelry on cultural inheritance. *Ideology Journal*, 9(2), 164-180.
- Lu, Q., Tang, Z., & Lu, W. (2024). Study on the Promotion and Dissemination of Cenxi Niu Niang Opera from the Perspective of Ethnic Cultural Inheritance. *Academic Journal of Humanities & Social Sciences*, 7(8), 174-181. <https://doi.org/10.25236/ajhss.2024.070828>
- Marques, A., Miranda, G., Silva, F., Pinto, P., & Carvalho, Ó. (2021). Review on current limits and potentialities of technologies for biomedical ceramic scaffolds production. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*, 109(3), 377-393. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.34706>
- Ming, Y., Me, R. C., Chen, J. K., & Rahmat, R. W. O. (2023). A systematic review on virtual reality technology for ancient ceramic restoration. *Applied Sciences*, 13(15), 8991.
- Moulton, K. (2015). Breaking ground: A history of Indigenous ceramics. *Art Monthly Australia*, (281), 31-34.
- Monros, G. (2023). Pigment, ceramic. In R. Shamey (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of color science and technology* (pp. 1295-1308). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-89862-5_181
- Nettle, D. (2020). Selection, adaptation, inheritance and design in human culture: The view from the Price equation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375(1797). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0358>
- Nelson, B., & Rawlings, D. (2007). Its own reward: A phenomenological study of artistic creativity. *Journal of*

Phenomenological Psychology, 38(2), 217-255.

Ottalagano, F. V. (2023). Naturalistic parrots, stylized birds of prey: Visual symbolism of the human-animal relationship in pre-hispanic ceramic art of the Paraná River Lowlands, South America. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal*, 33(2), 193-215. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959774322000257>

Pikirayi, I. (2007). Ceramics and group identities. *Journal of Social Archaeology*, 7(3), 286-301. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469605307081389>

Pfeiffer, S., Florio, K., Puccio, D., Grasso, M., Colosimo, B. M., Aneziris, C. G., . . . Graule, T. (2021). Direct laser additive manufacturing of high performance oxide ceramics: A state-of-the-art review. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*, 41(13), 6087-6114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2021.05.035>

Pradell, T., & Molera, J. (2020). Ceramic technology. How to characterise ceramic glazes. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences*, 12(8), 189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12520-020-01136-9>

Punj, S., Singh, J., & Singh, K. (2021). Ceramic biomaterials: Properties, state of the art and future prospectives. *Ceramics International*, 47(20), 28059-28074. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2021.06.238>

Randhawa, K. S. (2024). A state-of-the-art review on advanced ceramic materials: fabrication, characteristics, applications, and wettability. *Pigment & Resin Technology*, 53(6), 768-785. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PRT-12-2022-0144>

Schwartz, J. S. (2008). *Confrontational ceramics: the artist as social critic*. London, UK: A&C Black.

Serrano, T., Kampmann, T., & Ryberg, M. W. (2022). Comparative life-cycle assessment of restoration and renovation of a traditional Danish farmer house. *Building and Environment*, 219, 109174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109174>

Sharma, A., Babbar, A., Tian, Y., Pathri, B. P., Gupta, M., & Singh, R. (2023). Machining of ceramic materials: a state-of-the-art review. *International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM)*, 17(6), 2891-2911. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-022-01016-7>

Tian, Y. (2025). Digital Protection and Interactive Display of Ceramic Art Integrating CAD and Human-Computer Interaction. *Computer-Aided Design & Applications*, 22(S2), 131-143.

Tsoumas, J. (2021). Traditional Japanese pottery and its influence on the American mid 20th century ceramic art. *Matèria. Revista internacional d'Art*, (18-19), 139-153.

Wang, M. (2023). Maximizing the beauty of ceramic art application in landscape design under the background of artificial intelligence. *Journal of Multimedia Information System*, 10(4), 371-382. <https://doi.org/10.33851/jmis.2023.10.4.371>

Wang, Y., Wu, T., & Huang, G. (2024). State-of-the-art research progress and challenge of the printing techniques, potential applications for advanced ceramic materials 3D printing. *Materials Today Communications*, 40, 110001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2024.110001>

Yang, S. (2023). *Analysis and Practice of Organic Forms in Ceramic Arts* (Master's thesis, Unitec Institute of Technology, Auckland, New Zealand). Retrieved from <https://www.researchbank.ac.nz/server/api/core/bitstreams/09a9c43e-d04e-497c-9ee0-88c8c372470c/content>

Yang, Z., & Ain, R. B. (2024). Adaptation of dream of the red chamber in the age of mass media: A study on the transformation of animation and the sustainable development of cultural inheritance. *Journal of Lifestyle and SDG'S Review*, 4(2), e02326. <https://doi.org/10.47172/2965-730X.SDGsReview.v4.n02.pe02326>

You, Y. (2020). Consumption and aesthetics: An graphics study of modern ceramic art design. In *2020 2nd Scientific Workshop on Advanced in Social Sciences, Arts & Humanities (ASSAH 2020)* (pp. 9-14). <https://doi.org/10.25236/assah.2020.002>

Zeng, M., Wang, F., Xiang, S., Lin, B., Gao, C., & Li, J. (2020). Inheritance or variation? Spatial regeneration and acculturation via implantation of cultural and creative industries in Beijing's traditional compounds. *Habitat International*, 95, 102071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2019.102071>

Zhang, P. (2022). Study on the relieving effect of ceramic art of classical design style on the anxiety of connoisseurs. *Psychiatria Danubina*, 34(suppl 4), 562-563.

Zhang, Z. (2022). Living inheritance and dissemination of intangible cultural heritage in the context of new media: A case study of Yugur intangible cultural heritage. *International Journal of Frontiers in Sociology*, 4(13), 88-101. <https://doi.org/10.25236/ijfs.2022.041314>

ETHICAL DECLARATION

Conflict of interest: No declaration required. **Financing:** No reporting required. **Peer review:** Double anonymous peer review.